Local Work Group development of local EQIP.

	,	WASECA	District FY05 EQIP	
	List the local resource	concerns that EQIP can address	All Factors listed below.	
		If applicable, list any geographic regions (i.e. watersheds, townships, etc.) and their respective resource concerns within the District to receive priority: Entire County		
	3. Prioritize and weight between 1 and 10:	each local resource concern fo	or the district. Weight must be	
		Resource		
	Factor	Priority	Weight	
	A1. Erosion Control	2	9	
	A2 Gully Control	1	10	
	B1 Water Resource	3	8	
	B2 Wastewater/CNMP	5	6	
	C Habitat Improvement	9	2	
	D Air Quality	10	1	
	E Impaired Water	6	5	
	F Distance	4	7	
	G Grazing System	8	3	
	H Forest Mgt.	7	4	
	Additional Local*			
* If the additional local concern is scored, describe the concern here and how points wil				
	be scored. Include any geographic priorities.			
	Attach the scoring worksheet as recommended for the district.			
	-	List any recommended practices to be deleted from the state Conservation Practice Payment Document. None		
The local EQIP program description, cost-share docket changes, and ranking worksheet must be reviewed and approved by the State Conservationist before any EQIP contract is approved and signed.				
This document serves as the Local Work Group recommendation for FY 05 EQIP. Attached is a roster of participation in the Local Work Group.				

12/06/2004

Date

Jim Jirik, DC

Chair, Local Work Group